1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		
11	ON DEMAND DIRECT RESPONSE, LLC, et al.,	Case No.: 2:15-cv-01576-MMD-NJK
12	Plaintiff(s),	Order
13	v.	[Docket No. 348]
14	SHANA LEE MCCART-POLLAK,	
15	Defendant(s).	
16	On April 20, 2018, the Court granted Ms	. McCart-Pollak's motion to compel filed against
17	On Demand, and also awarded her costs. Docke	t No. 342. Now pending before the Court is Ms.
18	McCart-Pollak's motion to calculate those costs	. Docket No. 348. The deadline to respond has
19	expired see Local Rule 7-2(b), and no respons	se has been filed. Ms. McCart-Pollak seeks to
20	onpired, see Bootal Itale 7 2(0), and no respons	
20		g of the underlying motion (Docket No. 294) and
21	recover \$147.42 in costs associated with the filin	g of the underlying motion (Docket No. 294) and ttending the hearing on February 23, 2018. <i>See</i>
	recover \$147.42 in costs associated with the filin reply thereto (Docket No. 304), as well as for a	

24 brief, but the bulk of which will undoubtedly be used elsewhere. The Court instead finds the

25 reasonable amount of costs incurred to be \$60. On Demand shall pay Ms. McCart-Pollak that \$60

26 in costs within 30 days of the issuance of this order.

27

28

1		Accordingly, the pending motion to calculate costs is GRANTED in part and DENIED in
2	part.	
3		IT IS SO ORDERED.
4		Dated: May 25, 2018
5		Noney I Koney
6		Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		_